

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

08/14/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/815,607 03/31/2004 James Christopher Matayabas JR. 42P18765 9674 1978 7590 08/14/2007 **EXAMINER BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY VISCONTI, GERALDINA SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
O##== A=#=== 0		10/815,607	MATAYABAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Geraldina Visconti	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in co	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-8 and 49-54</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-8 and 49-54</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)		_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	oview (BTO 0.45)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO) 			al Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-8-07</u> .	•	6) Other:	Mylan Vinh	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070813	

⇒Art Unit: 1752

This office action is responsive to the Amendment and Remarks, in conjunction with the Information Disclosure Statement, filed 8 June 2007. Claim 1 has been canceled. Claim 54 has been added. Claims 2, 5-8 and 49-54 are pending and presently under consideration in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 5-8 and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification, as originally filed, does NOT support the invention as is now claimed, i.e., the composition containing the compound comprising at least one liquid crystalline disrupting moiety (emphasis added).

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,607

Art Unit: 1752

4. Claims 2, 5-8 and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Claim 2 is rejected as being vague and indefinite when it recites the composition containing the compound comprising "at least one liquid crystalline disrupting moiety" (emphasis added); the specific group(s) encompassed by said moiety is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 2, 5-8 and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by any one of Matayabas et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0041406; claim 25), Matayabas et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0287355; figure5), Matayabas et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0288454; figure 2), OR Matayabas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,924,027 corresponding to U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0191503; claim 29).

Art Unit: 1752

Each of the cited Matayabas et al. references discloses a composition comprising a filler as well as a compound comprising at least one epoxy ring inclusive of the recited formulae and having a melting point within the recited range.

The applied reference(s) have a common assignee/inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

7. Applicant's amendment to the claims on 8 June 2007 necessitated the new grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 8 June 2007 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,607 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geraldina Visconti whose telephone number is (571) 272-1334. The examiner can normally be reached 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GERALDINA VISCONTI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ghucha UnoL